IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4582 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

Deepak Kumar Gupta, son of Late Bholanath Gupta, aged about 47 years, resident of Kalpana Home, Station Road, Durg.

P.R. No. 4648/05
Presented by Shri B.P. Sharma
Dated 21/9/05

VS

RESPONDENTS:

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Coal & Mines, Shastri Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Coal & Mines, Department of Mines, Shastri Marg, New Delhi.
3. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Ministry of Mineral Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... wp 4582/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23.9.2005 Shri B.P. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhisma Kingar, Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for respondent No.3. The case of the petitioner is that after conducting the survey the petitioner has moved an application for grant of mining lease under the Mineral Concessions Rules, 1960 over the land bearing Khasra No.2820 measuring 14.50 hectares situated at Village Thanod, District Durg. Thereafter, objections were invited on the application of the petitioner from the general public and also a report from the Halka Patwari concerned. Ultimately, the petitioner's application was rejected vide order dated 4.2.2004 (Annexure P-2) on the ground that on survey the runner and moulding sand was not found at the site. Counsel for the petitioner submits that against the order dated 4.2.2004 petitioner has filed a revision petition before respondent No.2 in the year 2004 and since then, more than one year has elapsed but the revision petition has not been decided. Therefore, he submits that the limited request of the petitioner is that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the revision petition of the petitioner, to which learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.1 & 2 Shri Kingar & learned Govt. Advocate for respondent No.3 Shri Dubey, have no objection.	

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	The request made by counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable, therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the revision petition of the petitioner in accordance with law as early as possible but not later than three months. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.8265/05 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Boshan/-